



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/008,316	11/03/2001	Junhyeok Heo	SUNGWOO-2	3287
28581 759	90 03/31/2006		EXAMINER	
DUANE MORRIS LLP			BLAIR, DOUGLAS B	
PO BOX 5203 PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2142	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	······································				
Office Action Summary				<i>V</i>				
		10/008,316	HEO, JUNHYEOI	<u> </u>				
		Examiner						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Douglas B. Blair	with the correspondence as	ddross				
Period fo		pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence at	1U1 <del>0</del> 33				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUI .136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M te, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18.	January 2006						
2a)⊠		is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me								
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-14,16 and 20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) 6-14,16 and 20 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	•	` '				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
=	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		•				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in	Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have be	en received in this National	l Stage				
	application from the International Bure	,						
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies n	ot received.					
Attachmen		<b></b> .						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	3) 5) 🔲 Notice o	of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·					

Application/Control Number: 10/008,316 Page 2

Art Unit: 2142

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Claims 6-14, 16 and 20 are currently pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 6-12, 16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 6,658,153 to Nakagawa et al. in view of U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0026645 to Son et al. in further view of U.S. Patent Number 6,552,749 to Jones et al.
- 4. Claims 6-12, 14, 16 and 20 are rejected for the reasoning presented in the previous office action.
- 5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 6,658,153 to Nakagawa et al. in view of U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0026645 to Son et al. in further view of U.S. Patent Number 6,552,749 to Jones et al. and in further view of U.S. Patent Number 5,574,720 to Lee.
- 6. Claim 13 is rejected for the same reasoning presented in the previous office action.

### Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/008,316

Art Unit: 2142

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 1/18/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that Jones does not teach a step of determining whether the key frame data is needed or not with respect to claim 6 and that Lee does not teach the limitation "if a network state is checked and determined to be good, then deciding to encode new data if an 'n' number of finally generated frame data are all transmitted to users" with respect to claim 13.
- 8. As to the argument for claim 6, the applicant argues that the Jones invention knows when it is not necessary to use key frame data for data encoding so therefore Jones teaches the applicant's invention as claimed. The current claims do not specify any specific type of data conversion.
- 9. As to the argument for claim 13, the argued limitation is broad and arbitrary so the Examiner's interpretation reads on the claimed invention.
- 10. The applicant is reminded that although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

#### Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/008,316

Art Unit: 2142

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Douglas B. Blair whose telephone number is 571-272-3893. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on 571-272-3868. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Douglas Blair

URAU.

BUNJOB JAROENCHONWANIT BUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

Page 4